Statement-II

Some Financial indicators—Annual Plan 1998-99

S.N.	States	Debt: NSDP Ratio	BCR Per Capita (Rupees)	Own Revenues Per Capita (Rupees)
3	Goa	NA	−542.23	7454.14
4	Gujarat	20.90	−228.65	2211.40
5	Haryana	24.73	−574.00	2422.74
6	Himachal Pradesh	69.60	−1371.56	1306.72
7	Jammu and Kashmir	64.23	−1532.93	797.50
8	Karnataka	19.00	140.76	1680.87
9	Kerala	27.76	−135.55	1619.08
10	Madhya Pradesh	24.41	−154.81	904.93
11	Maharashtra	15.27	154.80	1956.30
12	Orissa	48.80	−386.33	566.84
13	Punjab	42.81	−1065.41	2116.85
14	Rajasthan	36.58	−520.42	1038.31
15	Sikkim	NA	−3192.80	20414.40
16	Tamil Nadu	18.60	−272.63	1799.34
17	Uttar Pradesh	31.84	−470.27	595.59
18	West Bengal	28.48	−550.96	672.92

Centrally Sponsored Welfare Schemes in Assam

2975. SHRI PRAKANTA WARISA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the number of centrally sponsored tribal/hilly welfare schemes going on at present in Assam, district-wise;

(b) the details of the funds allocated by Government for the said schemes in the State during the last three years; and

(c) the details of the achievements made in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING (SHRI ARUN SHOURIE): (a) to (c) Details of Centrally Sponsored Tribal/Hilly Welfare Schemes being

implemented in Assam, including funds allocated by the Central/ State Government for the said schemes and achievements made in terms of expenditure are given in the Statement enclosed (*See below*). District-wise information is, however, not available.

Statement

Centrally sponsored Schemes in Assam

(Rs. lakhs)

Sl. No.	Sector	No. of Schemes	Allocation		Ant. Exp.		Funding	
			CS	SS	CS	SS	Ratio	No. of Schemes
	1998-1999							
1.	Fishery	1	4	10	4	10	50:50	1
2.	Education	4	119.01	55.5	119.01	55.5	100 50:50	1 3
3.	Medical and Health	4	31	31	31	31	50:50	4
4.	Urban Development	1	3	2	3	2	60:40	1
5.	Watershed Dev. for shifting Cultivation	1	100	—	100	1	100	1
	TOTAL:	**11**	**257.01**	**98.5**	**257.01**	**98.5**	**50:50** **60:40** **100**	**8** **1** **2**
	1999-2000							
1.	Fishery	1	4	10	4	10	50:50	1
2.	Education	5	219.01	55.5	156	56.5	100 50:50	2 3
3.	Medical and Health	4	31	31	36	36	50:50	4
4.	Urban Development	1	3	2	3	2	60:40	1
	Flood Control Prot. of different reaches from the erosion of rivers	1			303		100	1

6.	Watershed dev. For Shifting Cultivation	1	100		100		100	1
	TOTAL:	**13**	**357.01**	**98.5**	**602**	**105**	**50:50** **60:40** **100**	**8** **1** **4**
2000-2001								
1.	Fishery	1	8.1	8.1	8.1	8.1	50:50	1
2.	Education	5	163.51	83.5	163.51	83.5	100 50:50	2 3
3.	Medical and Health	3		114		114	50:50	3
4.	Urban Development	1		200		200	60:40	1
5.	Flood Control Prot. of different reaches from the erosion of rivers	1	303		303		100	1
5.	Watershed dev. For shifting Cultivation	1	100		100		100	1
6.	Industry Cent. investment Subsidy	1	240		240		100	1
	TOTAL:	**13**	**814.61**	**406**	**814.61**	**406**	**50:50** **60:40** **100**	**7** **1** **5**

Downsizing of Planning Commission

2976. SHRI P. PRABHAKAR REDDY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the composition of the Planning Commission including the number of members, advisers, consultants etc., together with its full complement of supporting staff;

(b) the amount spent on pay and allowances including TA and DA of the members, officers and the staff during the last five years, year-wise;

(c) whether any efforts have been made to downsize the